



長春社 since 1968

The Conservancy Association

會址：香港九龍青山道 476 號百佳商業中心 1 樓 102 室

Add.: Unit 102, 1/F, Park Building, 476 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong

電話 Tel.: (852)2728 6781 傳真 Fax.: (852) 2728 5538

17th April 2015

Town Planning Board
15/F North Point Government Offices
333 Java Road
North Point
Hong Kong

By e-mail: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Comments on the Section 16 Application No. A/YL-NSW/233

The Conservancy Association (CA) OBJECTS to Section 16 Application No. A/YL-NSW/233.

1. Landscaped Area Plan lacks of scientific support

There are no updates on the proposed Landscaped Area Plan from the further information submitted on 16th March 2015.

According to Landscaped Area Plan in Annex D7, the project proponent claimed that the Landscaped Area with Natural Habitat and Water Feature (LA) would “*form an integral part of the buffer proposals between development and the adjacent habitats and help mitigate for potential impacts to egret flight lines in that it will place the development away from the main flightlines*” (Section 1.1 of Annex D7). However, the application site is so close to the Wetland Conservation Area (WCA). CA doubts whether the LA of 0.25 ha could be an effective buffer between application site with large-scale residential development and the adjacent habitats, especially WCA.

The project proponent claimed that the design of LA was similar to those commercial fishponds found in Deep Bay area (Section 2.1 of Annex D7). Nevertheless, the project proponent has not provided any scientific evidence and detailed explanation to show the

proposed design and operation of the LA could mitigate any adverse impact, especially on egret flight lines, and make the public question the sustainability of the LA.

2. Impacts on the egret

Although Block T7 was substituted with a communal garden, CA is of grave concern that human disturbance and light pollution from the application site will still cause significant impact to the Tung Shing Lei egret flight lines, as Flight Line 1 is very close to Block T6 and over the communal garden (Figure).

3. Insufficient information of flight lines

The flight line surveys submitted by applicants have not included spring migratory period (Figure 2). Neglecting flight line survey during spring migratory months will lead to inaccurate result of EcoIA.

4. Potential cumulative impacts

The impacts from the proposed development, with planning application No. A/YL-NSW/1, adjacent to the captioned planning application have not been assessed. Since egrets will fly from Tung Shing Lei to northern fishpond for foraging, both planning applications will narrow the flight lines of egret from Tung Shing Lei and eventually affect the egret or even lead to abandonment of it.

Yours faithfully
Leung Tak Ming
Campaign officer

